


Analysis of Journal Reviews of Demonstration Project Primary Results Papers

Devon K. Check, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Population Health Sciences
Duke University School of Medicine



How does the peer review community
perceive pragmatic trials (PCTs) conducted
through the Collaboratory?



NAM Leadership Consortium for a
Value & Science-Driven Health System



Clinical Effectiveness Research Innovation Collaborative

Methods innovation and practice-based
approaches to clinical research

Meeting Focus: *Peer reviewed journal publication frameworks that promote dissemination of evidence developed by learning health systems.*



Goals

Develop recommendations for authors submitting papers from PCTs

Identify areas for education and/or clarification about PCTs for reviewers and editors



Approach

1. Interviews with journal editors
2. Analysis of journal reviews



Approach

1. Interviews with journal editors
2. Analysis of journal reviews

Analysis of journal reviews

Who: Authors of Demonstration Project primary results papers that have been accepted for publication or published

What: Reviewer comments provided by journals where papers were submitted

Why: Draw conclusions about common experiences across studies



Questions or suggestions?

devon.check@duke.edu