

How to Assure Follow-up to a Positive FIT in Safety Net Settings

Amanda Petrik, MS on behalf of Gloria D. Coronado, PhD Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research

Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research

The problem

- An estimated 8.7 million individuals complete a fecal test each year.
- Yet, not all individuals who test positive get a follow-up colonoscopy.
- For these patients, the benefit of fecal testing is nullified!



COLON CANCER CAN BE PREVENTED. Everyone aged 50-75 should be screened regularly. One option is a simple at-home test, called a **FIT**. If the test finds hidden blood in your stool (poop), you may need a second test, called a colonoscopy. A colonoscopy can find and remove growths in your colon before they become cancer.

If you have a family history of colon cancer, you may need to start screening sooner. FIT is one of many recommended screening options. Please talk to your doctor to learn more.

Early detection saves lives - get screened today!

In safety net practices, only **52%** - **54%*** of individuals who screen positive on FIT obtain a follow-up colonoscopy.

* Liss et al. 2016; STOP CRC study

Key points

- Patients' experience with follow-up colonoscopy
- Providers' experience with performing colonoscopy
- Selecting patients for patient navigation
- Roles of CCOs





Reasons for No Referral or Colonoscopy among Patients with a Positive FIT*

Reason	Not Referred (n = 84) N (%)	Referred, No Colonoscopy (n = 195) N (%)
No reason indicated	26 (32.1)	86 (44.1)
Patient declined	24 (29.6)	54 (27.7)
Unable to contact	4 (5.0)	21 (10.8)
Recent colonoscopy	22 (25.9)	25 (12.8)
Other	5 (5.9)	9 (7.7)

*based on chart abstraction of 613 patients w/positive FIT results

Barriers to CRC Follow-up: Perspectives from Providers (n = 15) and Patients (n = 10)

Colonoscopy Barriers

Bowel preparation challenges	Providers	Patients
Bowel prep	\checkmark	
Logistical issues		
Arranging a ride		\checkmark
Unable to take time off work	\checkmark	\checkmark
Delays in getting appointment		\checkmark
Insurance/cost-related barriers		
Billing / insurance issues	\checkmark	
Cost of colonoscopy	\checkmark	\checkmark
Psychosocial/other issues		
Patient fears	\checkmark	
Confusion about colonoscopy	\checkmark	\checkmark
Multiple health issues		\checkmark

Barriers to CRC Follow-up: Perspectives from Providers (n = 15) and Patients (n = 10)

Colonoscopy Barriers			
Bowel preparation challenges	Providers	Patients	
Bowel prep	\checkmark		
Logistical issues			
Arranging a ride	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Unable to take time off work	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Delays in getting appointment		V	
Insurance/cost-related barriers			
Billing / insurance issues	\checkmark		
Cost of colonoscopy	V	\checkmark	
Psychosocial/other issues			
Patient fears	V		
Confusion about colonoscopy	V		
Multiple health issues		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
	(

Follow-up colonoscopy rates, by age

Follow-up Colonoscopy completion w/i 12 months of FIT+ result (n = 2165)



*Based on 1743 patients aged 50-64 and 422 patients aged 65-74

Follow-up colonoscopy rates, by health insurance

Follow-up Colonoscopy completion w/i 12 months of FIT+ result (n = 2165)



*Based on 204 patients with commercial insurance, 1011 with Medicaid, 560 with Medicare, 335 uninsured, and 55 with unknown insurance.



Patient navigation is widely endorsed as a way to improve colonoscopy completion rates, yet not everyone needs patient navigation.

Colonoscopy completion in some usual care groups $\sim 50 - 70\%$.

How to winnow the targets for patient navigation

Candidate best practices:

- Select patients who screen positive on FOBT/FIT
- Select patients who have never had a colonoscopy
- Select patients who have no appointment for a colonoscopy within xx days/weeks of referral
- Use direct provider assessment / referral to navigation
- Risk prediction model



Fundamental problem: Follow-up colonoscopy

Standard patient navigation

SMART patient navigation



All patients are offered navigation, whether they need it or not



Patients are assessed for adherence probability,

Those with low/ moderate probability are offered navigation

Fundamental problem: Follow-up colonoscopy

Standard patient navigation





SMART patient navigation



Patients are assessed for adherence probability,

Those with low/ moderate probability are offered navigation

Risk prediction model

EHR data in the model:

- age,
- Hispanic ethnicity,
- body mass index,
- number of clinic visits in the past year,
- frequency of missed clinic appointments,
- previous CRC screening,
- receipt of a flu vaccine in the past year, and

clinic site



Conclusion

- Low rates of follow-up colonoscopy is a significant problem;
- Several patient- and system-barriers contribute to the problem;
- Patient navigation is widely endorsed, but not needed by everyone;
- Precisely delivering patient navigation to those who need it can save health care costs, and improve the colorectal cancer outcomes.





Acknowledgements

It takes a village...

- CHR research team:
 - Bill Vollmer, PhD
 - Amanda Petrik MS
 - Jennifer Rivelli, MA
 - Jennifer Schneider, MA
 - Jamie Thompson, MPH
 - Erin Keast, MS
 - Morgan Fuoco, MPH
 - Sally Retecki, MBA
 - Rich Meenan, PhD
 - Sacha Reich
 - Carrie Nielson, PhD
- Funding source: NIH Common Fund [UH2AT007782 and 4UH3CA188640-02] and Kaiser Permanente Community Benefit

- OCHIN:
 - Scott Fields, MD
 - Jon Puro, MS
 - Thuy Le, MS
 - Joy Woodall, MA
- Kaiser Washington Research Institute:
 - Beverly Green, MD, MPH
- NIH:
 - Stephen Taplin, MD, MPH
 - Jerry Suls, PhD
 - Nila Geta, PhD
 - Erica Breslau, PhD
- STOP CRC Advisory Board