Palliative Care Clinical Decision Support Tool

Audrey Tan, DO Clinical Associate Professor, Ronald O. Perelman Department of Emergency Medicine Division of Palliative Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine

Mark Durbin BSN, RN



Martin Martin Martin

Langone

Disclosures

• The following speaker(s) of this accredited CE activity have no relevant financial relationships to disclose:

- Audrey Tan, DO
- Mark Durbin, RN

Research reported in this presentation was supported within the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Health Care Systems Research Collaboratory by cooperative agreement UG3AT009844 from the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, and the National Institute on Aging. This work also received logistical and technical support from the NIH Collaboratory Coordinating Center through cooperative agreement U24AT009676. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.



HONORS







Investigators Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI)



Members Health and Medicine Division of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine



Members National Academy of Sciences





Why do we need palliative care in the ED?



Background







Increasing number of ED visits by older adults with serious illness

Most prefer to receive care at home and to minimize life-sustaining procedures Palliative care improves quality of life and decreases health care use





Default Approach



Benefits of palliative care in the ED

Mr Improve quality of life

Decrease in ICU admissions

Up Decrease in hospital LOS

🗠 Decrease cost



Primary Palliative Care for Emergency Medicine (PRIM-ER)

- Pragmatic, cluster-randomized stepped wedge design to test the effectiveness of primary palliative care education, training, and technical support in 35 EDs
- Measure the effect using Medicare claims data on:
 - ED disposition to an acute care setting
 - Healthcare utilization 6 months following the index ED visit
 - Survival following the index ED visit



PRIM-ER Intervention Components

VITAL talk

- 1. Evidence-based, multidisciplinary primary palliative care education (EPEC-EM, ELNEC);
- 2. Simulation-based workshops on communication in serious illness (EM Talk);
- 3. Clinical decision support; and
- 4. Provider audit and feedback.





18 Health Systems





Project Team & Design



Correlation with current NYU initiatives

- Value-Based Management Supportive Care Initiative
 - Mission: improve quality of life for end-of-life patients and achieve better alignment of clinical practice with these patients and families' goals of care
- Advance Care Planning Initiative
 - Mission: improve infrastructure and resources dedicated to advance care planning
 - Utilization of MOLSTs (Medical Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatments)



Workgroup members





Creation of Algorithm



How do we identify these patients in the ED?



How did we previously identify these patients?



Bowman J, George N, Barrett N, Anderson K, Dove-Maguire K, Baird J. Acceptability and Reliability of a Novel Palliative Care Screening Tool Among Emergency Department Providers. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2016;23(6):694-702.



Palliative care clinical decision support tool



Leverage clinical decision support alerts to:

Identify patients most likely to benefit from primary palliative care

Provide point-of-care clinical recommendations



Benefits:

Rapid Sensitive Improves adherence to guidelines



A. Screening criteria

Historical data elements	Current encounter data elements
Mandatory surprise question: "Would you be surprised if this patient died within the previous 6 months?" (No)	Code narrator start
Previous palliative care consult	Active order for mechanical ventilation
Previous order for "Do Not Resuscitate"	Active order for non-invasive ventilation
Last hospital disposition to a long-term acute care facility or nursing facility	GFR < 15 ml/min/m ²
Previous scanned document of Consent to Withhold or Withdraw Life Sustaining Treatments	Albumin < 2 g/dL
Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Score 3 or 4	Bicarbonate < 10 mEq/L
Previous discharge to hospice	$pCO_2 < 70 mmHg$
Previous MOLST documentation	



B. Referral





PALLIATIVE CARE INPATIENT CONSULT SERVICE

SOCIAL WORK



C. Design specifications

Interruptive vs non-interruptive alerts

- ED providers
- Social work and case management

Alert timing

• One hour after provider assignment

Alert audience

• ED providers, nurses, social workers, care managers





The Algorithm





The Algorithm: Hospice

Patient previously enrolled with home or inpatient hospice Provider: Pall care consult? SW consult?

SW/CM: Alert and flag



The Algorithm: (+) MOLST



The Algorithm: No MOLST

Patient with serious lifelimiting illness Provider: Discuss GOC. Consider Pall care and SW.



The Solution / The Build



Translating the Algorithm into Electronic CDS

- Decision for Active Interruptive BPA's
- Positive eMOLST
 - BPA for RN
 - Column for Unit Clerks
 - BPA for Providers
- Positive Hospice (Enrolled or Referred)
 - BPA for Social Workers and Care Managers
 - Column for Social Workers and Care Managers
 - BPA for Providers
- Identified patients as possibly having life limiting illness based on numerous criteria
 - BPA for Providers



Clinical Decision Support @ NYU Langone Health

Identify seriously ill patients with advance care planning documents: BPA for RN's

Be	estPractice Advisory - SupportiveCare,TestOne
	① Active eMOLST
	Patient has an active eMOLST. This document outlines a patient's wishes in the setting of serious life-limiting illness. Please access this document to learn more about the patient's wishes for care.
	Acknowledge Reason
	Acknowledged
	© 2018 Epic Systems Corporation. Used with permission.



Clinical Decision Support @ NYU Langone Health

Identify seriously ill patients with advance care planning documents: BPA for Providers

BestPractice Advisory - SupportiveCare,TestOne
① Patient has an active eMOLST - Goals of Care Discussion Trigger
This patient has an eMOLST.
• If the patient has capacity, confirm that current goals of care are consistent with this document.
 If the patient does NOT have capacity, this document contains active medical orders which should be honored.
Do you think this patient may die during this hospitalization?
OR
Do they have any one of the following?
Worsening in functional status?
 Uncontrolled symptoms due to a life-limiting illness?
Unclear goals of care?
If yes, then order a Social Work and Palliative Care Consult. If no, then dismiss BPA.
Order Do Not Order Ar IP CONSULT TO SOCIAL WORK
Order Do Not Order Ar IP CONSULT TO PALLIATIVE CARE
Acknowledge Reason
SW and Palliative Care Consults Ordered No Order at this time
© 2018 Epic Systems Corporation. Used with permission.



Clinical Decision Support @ NYU Langone Health: BPA for Social Workers and Care Managers to identify hospice patients

BestPractice Advisory - SupportiveCare,TestTwo
① Active Hospice
This patient has previously been referred to or enrolled with hospice services. Evaluate for social needs and notify hospice services, if appropriate.
Acknowledge Reason
Acknowledged
© 2018 Epic Systems Corporation Lised with permission



Clinical Decision Support @ NYU Langone Health: BPA for Providers to identify hospice patients

SestPractice	Advisory - Suppo	ortiveCare,TestThree			
() Acti	ve Hospice				
This cons	patient has prev sider Palliative C	viously been referre Care consultation.	ed to or enrolled with hospice service	s.Consult Social Wo	ork and
	Order	Do Not Order	P CONSULT TO SOCIAL WORK	¢	
	Order	Do Not Order	P IP CONSULT TO PALLIATIVE C	ARE	
Acl	knowledge Reas	on			
SW	/ and Palliative Car	e Consults Ordered N	lo Order at this time		
		© 2018	3 Epic Systems Corporation. Used with permission.	✓ <u>A</u> ccept	<u>D</u> ismiss



Clinical Decision Support @ NYU Langone Health: Initiate goals of care conversation: BPA for Providers

BestPractice Advisory - SupportiveCare,TestSixteen
① Goals of Care Discussion Trigger (No eMOLST on file)
This patient does not have an eMOLST on file but does possibly have a serious life-limiting illness based on criteria met (see criteria in blue below).
Start a goals of care conversation.
Do you think this patient may die during this hospitalization?
OR
Do they have any one of the following?
Worsening in functional status?
 Uncontrolled symptoms due to a life-limiting illness?
Unclear goals of care?
If yes, then order a Social Work and Palliative Care Consult. If no, then dismiss BPA.
Criteria met:
ECOG=4, Poor functional status
Order Do Not Order A IP CONSULT TO SOCIAL WORK
Order Do Not Order P CONSULT TO PALLIATIVE CARE
Acknowledge Reason
SW and/or Palliative Care Consults O No Order at this time
© 2018 Epic Systems Corporation. Used with permission.







Education and Roll-out



Usability Testing

- Test group of 10 ED staff including nurses, physicians, physician assistance and clinical operations leadership
- Tested multiple clinical scenarios
- Open forum for discussion/questions
- System Usability Scale (SUS) questionnaire
- Score of 92.5 (minimum threshold of 85 was considered "excellent")



System Usability Scale

Clinical Decision Support (CDS) System Primary Palliative Care for Emergency Medicine (PRIM-ER)

Please check the box that reflects your immediate response to each statement. Don't think too long about each statement. Make sure you respond to every statement. If you don't know how to respond, simply check box "3."

		S	itrong isagre	ly ee			Strongly Agree
1.	I think that I would like to use this product frequently.	C	1	2	3	4	5
2.	I found the product unnecessarily complex.	C	1	2	3	4	5
3.	I thought the product was easy to use.	C	1	2	3	4	5
4.	I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use this product.	C	1	2	3	4	5
5.	I found the various functions in the product were well integrated.	C	1	2	3	4	5
6.	I thought there was too much inconsistency in this product.	C	1	2	3	4	5
7.	I imagine that most people would learn to use this product very quickly.	C	1	2	3	4	5
8.	I found the product very awkward to use.	C	1	2	3	4	5
9.	I felt very confident using the product.	C	1	2	3	4	5
10	I needed to learn a lot of things before	E	1	2	3	4	5





Brooke J. SUS: A Retrospective. Journal of Usability Studies. 2013;8(2):12.

Education

- Clinical rounds
- Email notifications
- Tip sheets
- Education at faculty meetings
- Champions from various disciplines including physicians, nursing, social work, care managers and unit clerks



Dissemination of CDS



Tailoring Clinical Decision Support to Each Site

				g			
CRIT	ERIA 1: Pa	atient with Advanced Illne	ss Presen	ts to ED (no advance care	planning	documentation)	
		One of the below pos	sitive from	specified time interval to pre	esent		
MSQ=no during any	□Yes	Previous palliative care	□Yes	Previous order for DNR	□Yes	Outpatient Palliative	□Yes
previous hospitalization	□No	consult order in	□No		□No	Care visit in past	□No
		months				months	
Last hospitalization	□Yes	ECOG 3 or 4	□Yes	Last hospitalization	□Yes	Previous scanned	□Yes
disposition location of	□No		□No	disposition location of	□No	document of consent to	□No
nursing facility in				LTAC in months		withhold/withdraw life	
months						sustaining treatment	
Previous dispo to	□Yes						
outpatient or inpatient	□No						
hospice in months							

n	D
v	n

CRIT	ERIA 2: Pa	tient with Advanced Illnes	ss Present	ts to ED (no advance care	planning	documentation)	
			Current EL	D encounter			
Code narrator start	□Yes	GFR<15	□Yes	Albumin <2	□Yes	Bicarb<10	□Yes
	□No		□No		□No		□No
PCO ₂ >70	□Yes	Active order for	□Yes	Active order for non-	□Yes		
	□No	mechanical ventilation	□No	invasive ventilation	□No		

OR

CRITERIA 3: Patier	nt with adv	anced illness presents to the ED with	advance	care planning documentation	
eMOLST	□Yes	MOLST	□Yes	POLST	□Yes
	□No		□No		□No
DNR/DNI	□Yes	Five Wishes	□Yes	ACP note under "CODE" tab in EPIC	□Yes
	□No		□No		□No

OR

CRITERIA 4: Enrolled or referred to hospice work-flow or previous disposition of Home/Hospice or Inpatient Hospice





Dissemination

- Sharing the build swim lanes
- Sharing of EPIC build
- Tech support



Measuring Success



Audit and Feedback Dashboard





CDS Dashboard

Last updated: 7/28/2019 12:09:30 PM

ED Supportive Care BPA Dashboard



NO ACTIVE MOLST BASE SUPPORTIVE CARE MD	Consult ordered				
	Acknowledged				
ACTIVE MOLST PLUS BPA	Consult ordered				
NFO MD	Acknowledged				
POSITIVE HOSPICE BASE MD	Consult ordered				
	Acknowledged				
ACTIVE MOLST RN	Acknowledged				
POSITIVE HOSPICE BASE	Accept BPA (No Action Ta	1			
DN/SW/CM	A shus shull a share of				

Trigger Criteria





Modifications

- 11/7/2018
 - Alerts fire for all providers (attending, resident, PA) not just to the initial provider
- 12/9/2018
 - Discontinued alert firing for non-ED providers
 - Amended alert to fire only once for each ED provider
- 1/30/2019
 - Firing of No MOLST alert changed to T+60 min changed to T+90 min
 - Modification of criteria removed nursing home disposition and GFR<15 ml/min/m²
- 4/10/2019
 - No MOLST BPA held



CDS Dashboard



Actions												
NO ACTIVE MOLST BASE SUPPORTIVE CARE MD	Consult ordered Acknowledged											
ACTIVE MOLST PLUS BPA INFO MD	Consult ordered Acknowledged											
POSITIVE HOSPICE BASE MD	Consult ordered Acknowledged											
ACTIVE MOLST RN	Acknowledged											
POSITIVE HOSPICE BASE RN/SW/CM	Accept BPA (No Action Ta Acknowledged											
		0	50)	100	15	50 2	00	250	30	0 3	50

of times the action was taken



CDS Dashboard

BPA display count by week





of times the action was taken



Next steps



Lessons Learned

- Be weary of alert fatigue
- Buy in is key
- Changing the culture of care in the ED



Questions

Audrey Tan, DO Audrey.Tan@nyulangone.org

Mark Durbin, RN Mark.Durbin@nyulangone.org

