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Essentials of Embedded Pragmatic Clinical Trials
Learning goal

• Learn about the regulatory and ethical challenges of conducting ePCTs
Important things to know

• Ethical analysis for ePCTs is a work in progress
• Federal and local policies and/or their operationalization regarding the oversight of ePCTs are in flux
• There is often confusion and misunderstanding about ePCTs on the part of patients, providers, IRBs, and DSMBs
ePCTs are motivated by ethical imperatives

ePCTs also raise interesting ethical and regulatory questions
Evolving understanding of unique ethical/regulatory issues for ePCTs

- Informed consent
- Data monitoring
- Defining minimal risk
- Research/quality improvement distinction
- Vulnerable subjects
- IRB harmonization
- Data sharing

- Identifying direct and indirect subjects
- Gatekeepers
- FDA-regulated products
- Nature of ePCT interventions
- Privacy
- Management of collateral findings
Current ethics/regulatory in flux

Your dedicated ethics/regulatory liaison
Determining if the Common Rule applies

- The activity is conducted or supported by HHS
- The activity is non-exempt human subjects research

To determine whether the activity is non-exempt human subjects research, ask these questions:

1) Does the activity involve research?
2) Does the research involve human subjects?
3) Is the human subjects research exempt?
Does the ePCT involve a research intervention?

**Definition of research:**
Research means a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.
Regulatory & ethical challenges of ePCTs

Ethical, not regulatory, question:

Whose rights and welfare need to be protected?
Types of participants in an ePCT

Direct

Indirect
Direct participants

Immediate or mediated targets of the intervention

- Intervention → Patients
- Intervention → Providers
- Intervention → Clinics
Direct participant

Intervention

Immediate target

Mediated target
Indirect participants

People affected by routine exposure to the environment (e.g., family/caregivers)
Case study from NIH Collaboratory: Active Bathing to Eliminate (ABATE) Infection

- Cluster trial comparing 2 quality improvement strategies to reduce multidrug-resistant organisms and healthcare-related infections in non-ICU population
- 53 hospitals
- 331,584 patients
Indirect participants: ABATE example

Routine Care

Decolonization
Regulatory perspective: Who are the subjects in ePCTs?

Definition of human subject:

Human subject means a living individual about whom an investigator conducting research:

- Obtains information or biospecimens through intervention or interaction with the individual, and uses, studies, or analyzes the information or biospecimens; or

- Obtains, uses, studies, analyzes, or generates identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens
Approaches to notification & authorization

Alterations

Informed consent

Nondisclosure

Broad notification

Opt-out

Opt-in
Working with human subjects oversight bodies

• Institutional review boards (IRBs)

• Data monitoring committees (DMCs) or data and safety monitoring boards (DSMBs)
Requirement for single IRB review

Applicability

• U.S. institutions engaged in cooperative research for the portion of the research conducted in the United States

• Does not apply:
  • When more than single IRB review is required by law (including tribal law)
  • Whenever any Federal department or agency supporting or conducting the research determines and documents that the use of a single IRB is not appropriate for the particular context

Compliance date for sIRB provision: January 20, 2020
Data monitoring committee

Group of experts that review the ongoing conduct of a clinical trial to ensure continuing patient safety as well as the validity and scientific merit of the trial.
Unique considerations for monitoring ePCTs

- Poor adherence to intervention: problem or finding?
- Limited or delayed access to study outcomes during study conduct
- Differential data collection/contact by study arm
- Level of data needed to change practice, especially when studying treatments in wide use?
- Are interim analyses actionable?

Adapted from Greg Simon, PCT Grand Rounds, December 8, 2017
Important things to do

- Designate someone to track local and federal regulatory developments and serve as liaison with regulatory/oversight bodies
- You can contact OHRP for guidance
- Budget sufficient time for proactive education and negotiations with relevant regulatory/oversight bodies
- Identify all parties who might be affected by the study and its findings; consider protections
Consent, Disclosure, and Non-disclosure

- Introduction
- Informed Consent
- Alternative Approaches to Disclosure and Authorization
- Non-disclosure of Research Activities
- Data on Different Approaches to Disclosure
OHRP contacts and resources

• Submit your questions to OHRP@hhs.gov
• Visit OHRP website at www.hhs.gov/ohrp
• Bookmark this page for quick reference to OHRP resources on the revised Common Rule: www.hhs.gov/ohrp/education-and-outreach/revised-common-rule/index.html