

September 14, 2018

Advancing the Use of Mobile Technologies for Data Capture & Improved Clinical Trials

John Hubbard, Healthcare Strategic Advisory Board (SAB), Genstar Capital

Barry Peterson, Independent Consultant

Cheryl Grandinetti, FDA





Public-Private Partnership Co-founded by Duke University & FDA Involves all stakeholders 80+ members

MISSION: To develop and drive adoption of practices that will increase the quality and efficiency of clinical trials





Project Portfolio

			\bigcirc	*	
Areas of Strategic Focus:	SYSTEMATIC EVIDENCE GENERATION	PATIENTS AS EQUAL PARTNERS	EFFICIENT & QUALITY TRIALS	PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN	SAFE & ETHICAL TRIALS
Active Projects:	MCT Decentralized Clinical Trials MCT Stakeholder Perceptions Real World Evidence State of Clinical Trials	Patient Groups & Clinical Trials	Investigator Qualification	ABDD HABP/VABP Studies	
Complete Projects (now driving adoption):	Large Simple Trials MCT Mobile Technologies MCT Novel Endpoints Registry Trials		GCP Training Investigator Community Monitoring Quality by Design Recruitment Site Metrics	ABDD Peds Trials ABDD Streamlining HABP/VABP Trials ABDD Unmet Need Long-Term Opioid Data	Single IRB, Single IRB Adv DMCs Informed Consent Pregnancy Testing IND Safety, IND Safety Adv SAE Reporting



Mobile Clinical Trials (MCT) Program

PURPOSE:

Develop evidence-based recommendations that affect the widespread adoption and use of mobile technology in clinical trials for regulatory submission.

ANTICIPATED IMPACT:

Increased number of clinical trials leveraging mobile technology. More efficient trials generating better quality information.





*Scope: FDA-regulated clinical trials after the time of initial research volunteer consent

Project Team

Team Leaders	Team Members	Project Manager
Marissa Bolognese (The Life Raft Group) Phil Coran (Medidata	Adam Amdur (ASAA) Jessie Bakker (Philips) Barry Peterson (Philips)	Jen Goldsack (CTTI)
Solutions) Chris Dell (Pfizer)	Ernesto Ramirez (Fitabase) Drew Schiller (Validic)	Social Science Lead
Ray Dorsey (URMC) Cheryl Grandinetti (FDA) Kaveeta Vasisht (EDA)	Chris Miller (AstraZeneca) Tom Switzer (Genentech) Aiden Doherty (University of Oxford) Jonathan Helfgott (Stage 2 Innovations and Johns Hopkins) Ashish Naryan (Mount Sinai School of Medicine) Matt Kirchoff (NIH) Phillip Kronstein (FDA) Dharmesh Patel (FDA)	Amy Corneli (CTTI)
		EC Champion
		John Hubbard (Healthcare SAB, Genstar Capital)



Why Mobile Technologies?



PATIENT CENTRICITY

- High-quality, patient-centric endpoints
- Endpoints that matter to patients
- Reduced participation burden
- Fewer barriers to participation
- Better, more complete info

EFFICACY

- Improved predictability rates
- Increase in # of potentially successful treatments

0 •	\bigcirc

EFFICIENCY

- Generation of data needed by payers to make coverage determinations
- Prevention of delays in coverage, payment, & use decisions
- Prevention of delays in patient access to meds

Potential Benefits of Using Mobile Technology in Clinical Trials



CTTI MCT Mobile Technologies Project





Topics to Discuss Today

We'll take a deep dive into certain aspects of

- Mobile Technology Selection
- Data Management
- Direct you to additional resources

Discussion





Barry Peterson, PhD Independent Consultant



Recommendations Overview

- Know what you want to measure before selecting the mobile technology
- Mobile technology selection should be specification-driven and collaborative
- CTTI recommends that a technology's regulatory status not be the sole driver in sponsors' decisions about which mobile technology to use
- The appropriateness of the selected mobile technology should be justified through verification and validation processes
- Feasibility studies conducted before full implementation in a large study reduce risk



Data Access Considerations Before Selecting a Mobile Technology

- Sponsors should not assume that the technology manufacturer will provide them with all of the data collected by the mobile technology
- Prior to selecting a mobile technology for data capture, sponsors should consider:
 - Whether they will have access to the raw data generated by the mobile technology,
 - To what levels of processed data they will have access,
 - Whether they will have access to the algorithm(s) used to process the data, and
 - In what format the data will be provided.



Summary of Data Access Considerations

- How will the data generated by the mobile technology be accessed and used by the manufacturer?
- What data will be provided by the manufacturer to the sponsor?

CTTI Recommendation:

Ensure that access to data meets your needs prior to contacting an electronic service vendor.



Recommendations Overview

- Know what you want to measure before selecting the mobile technology
- Mobile technology selection should be specification-driven and collaborative
- CTTI recommends that a technology's regulatory status not be the sole driver in sponsors' decisions about which mobile technology to use
- The appropriateness of the selected mobile technology should be justified through verification and validation processes
- Feasibility studies conducted before full implementation in a large study reduce risk



Verification

Verification is an engineering assessment

Assessment of the basic sensors of the devices with respect to:

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Consistency across time, devices and environmental conditions
- Lack of errors in firmware that processes the sensor data
- Usually compared to a physical "bench" standard
- Variances in sensor measurements are usually very small (<1%)</p>
- Verification data should be provided by device manufacturer/vendor



Validation

- Validation is a biological assessment
- Assessment of the accuracy and precision of the biological endpoints derived from the sensor data
 - Usually against an independent measurement standard.
- Variances in endpoint measurements may be large (5-15%) but may still be useful (statistical question)
- > Validation data can be provided by:
 - device manufacturer
 - from an independent study by a user, or
 - from a new study for a specific patient population



Recommendations Overview

- Know what you want to measure before selecting the mobile technology
- Mobile technology selection should be specification-driven and collaborative
- CTTI recommends that a technology's regulatory status not be the sole driver in sponsors' decisions about which mobile technology to use
- The appropriateness of the selected mobile technology should be justified through verification and validation processes
- Feasibility studies conducted before full implementation in a large study reduce risk



Supporting Resources

Mobile technology selection framework

Two case studies:

- 1. Verification and Validation Processes in Practice
- 2. Feasibility Testing to Promote Successful Inclusion of Mobile Technologies for Data Capture

Glossary defining key terms, including verification and validation





Cheryl Grandinetti, PharmD

FDA, CDER, OSI



Data Management

- For mobile technology-derived outcomes data, sponsors should consider:
 - Data integrity
 - Data security
 - Data usability and availability

Sponsors are ultimately responsible for data management, but processes are often carried out by, or in partnership with third parties, such as:

- CROs
- IT service providers
 - Mobile technology manufacturers
 - Third-party data platforms



CTTI Recommendations on Data Management

Solutions and guidance to management of data captured by mobile technologies in clinical trials.

Highlight specific data management tasks that should be internally reviewed or discussed with potential partners prior to entering into an outsourcing agreement.



Recommendations Summary

- Ensure the authenticity, integrity, and confidentiality of data over its entire lifecycle.
- Optimize data accessibility while preventing data access from unauthorized users.
- Ensure that access to data meets your needs prior to contracting an electronic service vendor.
- Apply an end-to-end, risk-based approach to data security.
- Monitor the quality of data captured by mobile technologies centrally through automated processes.
- Ensure that site investigators have access to data generated by their participants.



Data Flow Diagram



Adapted from: Quisel, Tom, et al. "Collecting and Analyzing Millions of mHealth Data Streams." *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. ACM, 2017.



Strategies for Promoting & Protecting Data Integrity





Data Security

- CTTI recommends applying an end-to-end, risk-based approach to data security should be applied to protect participants' privacy and the confidentiality and integrity of their data.
- Mobile era creates new data security demands
 - Data should be secured on both the technology itself and during transfer from the technology.
 - Transfer likely occurs over Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, cellular and networks beyond control of sponsors and ESPs
 - Data should be secured during additional transfer steps (ex: app → server) and all processing steps.
- CTTI recommends that data security solutions are developed with the entire infrastructure in mind.



Centralized Monitoring

- When mobile technologies are used for data capture, FDA's existing monitoring guidance still applies.
 - Guidance for Industry, Oversight of Clinical Investigations
 A Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring
- Centralized monitoring is well suited to check for completeness, consistency, and correctness.
- Develop monitoring plans and strive to correct technical issues earlier.
- Monitoring plans should articulate who should resolve potential issues as identified.



Applying the Recommendations

John Hubbard, PhD, FCP

Genstar Capital



CTTI MCT Mobile Technologies Project





Take Action

Access recommendations and resources

 MCT Mobile Technologies <u>https://www.ctti-</u> <u>clinicaltrials.org/projects/mobile-technologies</u>

Contact us with questions!

- CTTI Project Manager jennifer.Goldsack@duke.edu
- Barry Peterson <u>barry.t.peterson@gmail.com</u>
- Cheryl Grandinetti <u>Cheryl.Grandinetti@fda.hhs.gov</u>







www.ctti-clinicaltrials.org

Illustrative Examples from CTTI Recs

Verification and Validation

VERIFICATION				VALIDATION	
Raw Data		Processed Data		Outcome Assessment	
Output from physical sensor	\rightarrow	Output from mobile technology firmware	\rightarrow	Output from analysis algorithm	
Acceleration (m/s2)	\rightarrow	Activity counts (n)	\rightarrow	Time spent active (min)	
			\rightarrow	Total sleep time (min)	
Electrical potential (mv)	\rightarrow	Heart rate (beats/min)	\rightarrow	Heart rate variability (e.g pNN50)	
	Raw DataOutput from physical sensorAcceleration (m/s2)Electrical potential (mv)	Raw DataOutput from physical sensorAcceleration (m/s2)Acceleration (m/s2)	VERIFICATIONRaw DataProcessed DataOutput from physical sensor→Output from mobile technology firmwareAcceleration (m/s2)→Activity counts (n)Electrical potential (mv)→Heart rate (beats/min)	VERIFICATIONRaw DataProcessed DataOutput from physical sensor \rightarrow Output from mobile technology firmwareAcceleration (m/s2) \rightarrow Activity counts (n) \rightarrow Electrical potential (mv) \rightarrow Heart rate (beats/min) \rightarrow	



^[1] The <u>pNN50 statistic</u> is a time domain measure of heart rate variability (HRV).