

ePCTs in Context

Small Group Work and Panel Discussion With Demonstration Project Investigators

Moderator:

Emily O'Brien, PhD

Associate Professor of Population Health Sciences

Department of Population Health Sciences

Duke University School of Medicine



Learning goals



- Introduction of Demonstration Project Panelists
- Small Group Discussion:
 - Breakout into small groups
 - Each group discusses 1 question
 - Report back to the group
- Panelists discuss how they handled the challenges
- Reflect on the challenges, solutions & lessons learned of the morning topics, to include Q&A.



Demonstration Project Panelist

- Julie Fritz, PhD, PT
 - BeatPain Utah
- Michael Ho, PhD, MD
 - Nudge
- Angelo Volandes, MD, MPH
 - ACP PEACE



Small Group Discussion

BeatPain Utah: Enrollment and Engagement of Participants

- The setting for BeatPain Utah was rural and frontier communities and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs). Building trust between the academic medical center and FQHC leadership, staff and communities served was challenging. **How would you build trust in this scenario?**

Nudge: Engaging with Health System Partners

- The Nudge trial experienced that clinical priorities often supersede research projects. **How would you approach this problem when conducting pragmatic research?**

ACP PEACE: Engaging with Health System Partners

- Experience with PROVEN showed a lack of fidelity to the intervention (a video), lack of communication and standardization, and that health system and clinicians are overwhelmed with work, so adding a video to their workflow was challenging. **What changes could be made to address these challenges in the next trial?**



Reflection on Morning Topics

- What are embedded pragmatic clinical trials (ePCTs)?
- Objectives and trial design
- Engaging with health system and community partners