Objectives and Trial Design: An Overview of Hybrid Designs

Hayden Bosworth, PhD Professor of Population Health Sciences Department of Population Health Sciences Duke University School of Medicine

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®





Why hybrid trial designs?

- Let's go faster!
 - Sequential looks at effectiveness and implementation are slower
- Don't wait for perfect effectiveness data before moving to implementation research
- We can backfill effectiveness data while we test/evaluate implementation strategies
- How do clinical outcomes relate to adoption and fidelity?
 How will we know this without data from both sides?

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®



Type 1 Clinical Trial PLUS Implementation-focused process evaluation Usually a mixed-methods study of what worked or didn't Revise intervention? Implementation strategies needed? Indications Clinical effectiveness data remain limited, so "too early" for intensive focus on implementation, but... Ideal opportunity to explore implementation issues, learn what's needed for future focus on implementation (study or do...)



Type 1 example: PPACT

- Effectiveness aim: Determine effectiveness of teambased intervention for reducing pain impact
- Implementation aim: Conduct an implementationfocused process evaluation to assess reach of and fidelity to the intervention, and barriers to and facilitators of the interventions



Type 2

- Clinical trial nested within
 - Implementation trial of competing strategies
 - Pilot (one-arm) study of single implementation strategy
- Indications
 - Clinical effectiveness data available, though perhaps not for your population or context of interest

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®

- Have data on barriers and facilitators to implementation
- "Implementation momentum" within healthcare system



Type 2 example: STOP CRC

- Effectiveness aim: Determine effectiveness of mailed outreach for increasing colorectal cancer screening
- Implementation aim: Determine feasibility and potential utility of an implementation strategy (training, technical support, PDSA)

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®

Туре 3

- Implementation trial!
 - Primary test is comparing implementation strategies
 - Clinical effectiveness is a secondary analysis
- Indications
 - We sometimes proceed with rollouts or implementation studies of interventions without strong effectiveness data
 - Interested in exploring how clinical effectiveness might vary by extent and/or quality of implementation?



Concluding points

- This was a very brief summary!
- ePCTs are usually type 1 or 2, depending on how ready you are to test an implementation strategy on summative implementation outcomes
 - To describe implementation during the trial and prepare for later work on real-world implementation strategies = 1

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS

COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®

 To test the impact of real-world strategies on implementation outcomes like adoption and fidelity = 2



Important things to do

- Outline the primary objectives and goals of the study, considering both clinical effectiveness and implementation outcomes
- Assess the nature and complexity of the intervention being tested, as this may influence the choice of hybrid trial design (e.g., a complex intervention may benefit from a phased approach).
- Ensure that the chosen hybrid trial design allows for pragmatic elements, such as broad eligibility criteria, minimal interference with routine care, and outcomes that are meaningful in real-world settings.

NIH PRAGMATIC TRIALS COLLABORATORY Rethinking Clinical Trials®

 Clearly document the rational for selecting the specific hybrid trial deign to aid transparency, reproducibility, and future research



