

Advancing Rural Back Pain Outcomes through Rehabilitation Telehealth (ARBOR-Telehealth)

MPIs: Richard L. Skolasky, ScD; Kevin McLaughlin, DPT Funded by National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (UG3AR083838)

Overview



Low Back Pain (LBP)

- Most common cause of disability in the US
- Largest driver of US healthcare spending growth
- Number one reason for opioid prescriptions

Physical Therapy (PT)

- First line treatment
- Cost-effective in reducing disability and pain
- Decreased risk of opioid use
- 7-13% of patients attend PT
 - Barriers surrounding travel, missed work time, etc.

Overview



Rural Communities

- 40% fewer therapists per capita
 - Longer distance to travel
- Fewer patients attend PT within 30 days of onset
- Higher rates of opioid use

Telehealth

- PT provided by televisits for first time during pandemic
- Reimbursed by CMS and most commercial insurances
- New code for remote therapeutic monitoring (RTM)
 - Asynchronous telerehabilitation using mobile application

Overall Objective



 To compare the effectiveness of a risk-stratified telerehabilitation model to patient education to improve outcomes in patients with chronic low back pain in rural communities

RiSC Telerehabilitation Model*

JOHNS HOPKINS



Study Design



Randomized clinical trial

- RiSC Telerehabilitation
 - Delivered by TidalHealth
- Patient Education
 - Delivered via website
- Patients
 - 434 with chronic LBP
 - No spine surgery past 12m
 - Primary care office visit
 - Offset broadband access cost



Study Team



- Johns Hopkins
 - Richard L. Skolasky, Sc.D. (MPI)
 - Kevin McLaughlin, D.P.T. (MPI)
 - Elizabeth Colantuoni, Ph.D.
 - Stephen Wegener, Ph.D.
 - Tricia Kirkhart
- MHRI
 - Kisha Ali, Ph.D.

- TidalHealth
 - Robert Joyner, Ph.D.
 - Jill Stone, D.P.T.
 - Melanie Smith
 - Mary Chance
 - Megan Brimer
- Maryland Rural Health
 Association

Study Outcomes



| Outcome | Measure | Data Source |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Pain-Related Disability | ODI | Patient Report |
| Physical Function | PROMIS-26, v2.0 Physical Function | Patient Report |
| Pain Intensity | PEG | Patient Report |
| Quality of Life | PROMIS-29, v2.0 Profile | Patient Report |
| Opioid Use | Recent use for LBP | EHR and/or Patient Report |
| Health Care Use | Physical therapy (external to trial), Physician/ED visit, Imaging, Pain interventions, Medications, Back surgery | EHR and/or Patient Report |
| Implementation | Acceptability, Adoption, Feasibility, Fidelity | Patient Report |
| Safety | Adverse Events | Patient Report |

UG3 Specific Aims



- Aim 1: Examine effectiveness of telerehabilitation in reducing pain and disability
 - Oswestry disability index, PROMIS-29
- Aim 2: Examine effectiveness of telerehabilitation in reducing opioid use
- Aim 3: Examine implementation of the RiSC telerehabilitation model
 - RE-AIM Framework

Barriers Scorecard

| Barrier | | Level of Difficulty* | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------|---|
| | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Enrollment and engagement of patients/subjects | | | | Х | |
| Engagement of clinicians and health systems | | | Х | | |
| Data collection and merging datasets | | | Х | | |
| Regulatory issues (IRBs and consent) | | Х | | | |
| Stability of control intervention | | | Х | | |
| Implementing/delivering intervention across healthcare organizations | | | | Х | |
| | | *Your 1 = litt 5 = ex | best guess le difficulty treme diffic | ! ulty | NIH PRAGM COLLABORA Rethinking Clinical |

Date Sharing UG3

- What is your current data sharing plan?
 - All de-identified individual level data, with supporting documentation, will be made publicly available in compliance with NIH, Collaboratory, and institutional guidelines

Do you foresee any obstacles?

- We may be limited in sharing data on an un-restricted access registry from the UG3 phase, as this data will be collected under a waiver of informed consent (this will not be an obstacle in the UH3 Clinical Trial, as we will obtain informed consent from all participants)
- What information did the IRB require about how the data would be shared beyond the study in order to waive informed consent, if applicable?
 - We will be applying for a waiver of informed consent in order to conduct Model Recruitment (identifying likely eligible patients seen in the past 12 months from the EHR and performing data check on a random sample of 250 patients via medical chart abstraction)

Date Sharing UG3

- What data you are planning to share from your project (individual-level data, group-level data, specific variables/outcomes, etc.)?
 - Data generated will include observational data, statistical and programming code, derived and compiled metadata, analytic documentation.
 - Data will include, but may not be limited to:
 - Diagnostic and encounter data from the EHR
 - Self-reported patient-reported outcome measures and healthcare use
 - Technical and practical knowledge regarding risk stratification and implementation of the intervention
 - Metadata, such as data collection instruments, protocols, and data dictionaries





Richard Skolasky, <u>rskolas1@jhmi.edu</u> Kevin McLaughlin, <u>kmclaug5@jhmi.edu</u>

QUESTIONS?