

Single IRBs

*Can the promised goals of efficiency
and timeliness be met?*

P. Pearl O'Rourke, MD

Collaboratory Ethics and Regulatory Core

Basic IRB Logistics

Note:

IRB Committee - reviews and approves a protocol
IRB Office – which handles all the logistics

- Federal regulations mandate review by an IRB **committee** prior to study initiation
- The IRB **committee** can be internal or external
 - Another academic IRB committee or a commercial IRB committee
- A formal institutional agreement is required
 - **AND is specific to reliance on the external IRB Committee review**

Critical Context: The IRB exists within the Human Research Protection Program (HRPP)

Think the pimento in the olive

- Institutional review board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) **
- Organization
 - Grants and contracts
 - Policies: Conflict of interest, Noncompliance, Research Misconduct
 - Other review committees
 - Ancillary reviews; e.g., radiation safety, biomed engineering, CMS review, pharmacy, data security, ESCRO,
 - Legal resources
- Researchers and research staff
 - Appropriate credentials and resources
 - Completion of mandated education



** The only review covered by the sIRB

As a result...when relying on an external IRB

- Institutional review is required - most commonly delegated to and/or coordinated by the IRB OFFICE (not committee)
 - Usual sign-offs (e.g., department head, research official)
 - Tracking in the institutional research database
 - Identification of and communication with ancillary review committees
 - Institution-specific issues: state and local laws, local policies,
 - Does the research make sense at your institution. E.g. SOC issues, eligible populations
- Usually requires submission of a protocol to the local IRB Office
 - Allows tracking
 - Triggers communication/coordination with other institutional requirements
- Communication with the reviewing IRB
 - For initial review and ongoing review (think amendments to the protocol)
 - Issues of noncompliance, protocol holds, study closure

BUT...there is some good news!

- Although initial review may still be clunky...
- Reliance agreements have been stream-lined: SMART IRB
- Consistency achieved
 - Approved protocol across all sites
 - Handling of adverse events, unanticipated problems
 - Continuing review

Areas for improvement

- Foster realistic expectations
- Better understanding of:
 - The reliance process
 - The whole olive
 - Institutional versus IRB-Committee responsibilities
- Standardization/harmonization of forms and processes between institutions
- Better coordination between relying and reviewing institutions

