Engagement as the Cornerstone in Pragmatic Research

Natalia E. Morone, MD, MS

Boston University/Boston Medical Center

Optimizing Pain Treatment in Medical Settings Using Mindfulness

Summary

A pragmatic clinical trial integrating a telehealth group-based mindfulness stress reduction program into primary care settings for persons with chronic low back pain

Study design

Pragmatic randomized controlled trial



One year follow-up

Population **\$1**

450 patients with chronic low back pain ≥18 years of age

Three healthcare systems: Boston Medical Center, Pittsburgh/UPMC, North Carolina

Comparison



Intervention group

225 participate in 8-week Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction program



Control group

225 receive usual primary care

Outcomes

Mindfulness vs Usual Care	Baseline	w8	m 6	m 12
Pain Intensity & Pain Interference (PEG, Primary Outcome)				
Psychological function	<u>-</u>	·		
Physical function				
Healthcare utilization				
Pain medication/opioid use				



Partner Engagement





Partners

- Partners are people who come from groups and organizations, such as healthcare administrators, insurers, patients, advocates, community members, or policymakers
- A partner may serve multiple roles and bring several perspectives to a project
- Community Advisory Board (CAB) members are partner from various backgrounds, who each have unique perspectives and expertise they can offer



Partners: Community Advisory Board Member Contributions

- Provide feedback on the needs of persons living with chronic Lower Back Pain
- Advise on the best ways to engage with local providers and serve potential participants
- Advise on the best ways to provide mindfulness in primary care
- Provide advice on patient resistance to mindfulness for treating pain
- Assist with tailoring study recruitment and methods
- Provide advice on "next steps" once the study is completed



Our partners: one time interview

- Medical Director Internal Medicine Clinic
- Executive Director Group Medical Visit business
- Healthcare Business Development/Policy Lead
- Pain Advocacy Group Leader
- Family Medicine Physician (MGV leader)
- Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction Instructor
- Family Medicine Director of Community Health Initiatives
- Insurance representative

Interview guides evolved with the interviews

Our partner: Community Advisory Board

- Patients with chronic low back pain
- Mindfulness instructors
- Healthcare professionals
- Pain advocacy group leaders
- Administrators
- 10 members
- Meet monthly 1 hour
- Reimbursed



Training

Connecting Community to Research: A Toolkit

Tracy Battaglia, Jennifer Pamphile, Nikki Spencer, Penny Price Johnson, and Sharon Bak

Women's Health Unit, A DHHS Center of Excellence in Women's Health
Boston Medical Center
Boston University Clinical and Translational Science Institute

- A step-by-step guide to train the CAB by introducing the research process and developing an understanding of the CAB's contribution as partners in our research study
- The CAB participated in a two-hour training

https://www.pcori.org/engagement/engagement -resources/Engagement-Tool-Resource-Repository/connecting-community-research

CAB Work Flow Cycle

Community
Advisory Board
meets and reviews
materials or
discusses strategies
and makes
recommendations

Community Advisory Board meets and implemented changes reviewed

Lightining report created within seven days

1

Study team implements recommendations

Recommendations reviewed with entire study team within four weeks



Monthly Meeting Topics Include

Recruitment: feedback on flyers, website, animated video

Language used in study materials

Brainstorm strategies for study participation

Updates on study progress



Monthly Meeting Topics Changed Over Time

Review manuscripts

Review partner interviews

Brainstorm strategies for dissemination

Brainstorm next steps



Challenges

Partners provide inconsistent facts:

Medical group visits not possible in primary care

Providers not interested in medical group visits

 Mindfulness for chronic low back pain is not evidence-based

Conclusions

- Engagement is critical to pragmatic trials as it provides critical perspectives to move the research forward
- Investigators and staff familiar with engagement are necessary to carry out engagement successfully
- Engagement should begin even before a trial starts, but there is no current funding or mechanism for this
- Partners provide perspectives and recommendations that assist in identifying barriers and provide possible solutions
- CAB topics changed over time depending on the stage of the study
- Partners perspectives may be inconsistent with the facts uncovering opportunities for intervention and dissemination to address the inconsistensies

OPTIMUM Team

Boston

*Natalia Morone

Janice Weinberg

Jose Baez

Paula Gardiner

Tra Nguyen

Ruth Rodriguez

Cleopatra Ferrao

Kristina Astone

Karen Lasser

Daniel Schenck

Maddelyn Hyland

Devon Williams

Dhanesh Binda

Optimum Optimizing Pain Treatment in Medical Settings

Using Mindfulness

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

*Susan Gaylord

*Kim Faurot

Isabel Roth

Jessica Barnhill

Christine Lathren

Elondra Harr

Gabriela Castro

Nandie Elhadidy

Kelly Marie Eason

Malik Tiedt

Paula Huffman

CAB Members
Study Participants
NIH Pragmatic Trials Collaboratory
NCCIH Program Officer Dr. Wendy Weber

Pittsburgh

*Carol Greco
*Kathleen McTigue
Holly Thomas
Suzanne Lawrence
Janice Thieret
Graham Dore
Anna White
Nathan Dodds
Anita Bengert





Thank You



